

# **TEST REPORT**

Test Report No.: UL-RPT-RP11265293JD07K V3.0

Manufacturer : Apple Inc.

**Model No.** : A1785

FCC ID : BCG-E3088A

**Technology** : UMTS850 Band V

Test Standard(s) : FCC Part 22 Subpart H

1. This test report shall not be reproduced in full or partial, without the written approval of UL VS LTD.

- 2. The results in this report apply only to the sample(s) tested.
- 3. The sample tested is in compliance with the above standard(s).
- 4. The test results in this report are traceable to the national or international standards.

5. Version 3.0 supersedes all previous versions.

Date of Issue: 03 August 2016

Checked by:

Ian Watch

Senior Engineer, Radio Laboratory

**Company Signatory:** 

Steven White

Service Lead, Radio Laboratory UL VS LTD



This laboratory is accredited by UKAS. The tests reported herein have been performed in accordance with its terms of accreditation.

Facsimile: +44 (0)1256 312001

This page has been left intentionally blank.

Page 2 of 64 UL VS LTD

### **Table of Contents**

1. Customer Information	4
2. Summary of Testing	<b>5</b> 5 5 5 5
3. Equipment Under Test (EUT) 3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT) 3.2. Description of EUT 3.3. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT 3.4. Additional Information Related to Testing 3.5. Support Equipment	6 6 7 7
<ul><li>4. Operation and Monitoring of the EUT during Testing</li></ul>	<b>8</b> 8 8
5. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results  5.1. General Comments  5.2. Test Results  5.2.1. Transmitter E.R.P LAT  5.2.2. Transmitter E.R.P UAT  5.2.3. Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth  5.2.4. Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions - LAT  5.2.5. Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions - UAT  5.2.6. Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions - LAT  5.2.7. Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions - UAT  5.2.8. Transmitter Frequency Stability (Temperature Variation)  5.2.9. Transmitter Frequency Stability (Voltage Variation)	9 10 15 20 31 35 38 49 60 62
6. Measurement Uncertainty	63
7. Report Revision History	64

UL VS LTD Page 3 of 64

## 1. Customer Information

Company Name:	Apple Inc.
Address:	1 Infinite Loop Cupertino, CA 95014 U.S.A

Page 4 of 64 UL VS LTD

VERSION 3.0

ISSUE DATE: 03 AUGUST 2016

### 2. Summary of Testing

### 2.1. General Information

Specification Reference:	47CFR22
Specification Title:	Code of Federal Regulations Volume 47 (Telecommunications): Part 22 Subpart H (Public Mobile Services)
Site Registration:	209735
Location of Testing:	UL VS LTD, Unit 3 Horizon, Wade Road, Kingsland Business Park, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG24 8AH, United Kingdom
Test Dates:	02 June 2016 to 20 July 2016

### 2.2. Summary of Test Results

FCC Reference (47CFR)	Measurement	Result
Part 22.913(a)(2)	Transmitter E.R.P.	<b>②</b>
Part 2.1049	Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth	<b>Ø</b>
Part 2.1053/22.917	Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions	<b>Ø</b>
Part 2.1053/22.917	Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions	<b>Ø</b>
Part 2.1055/22.355 Transmitter Frequency Stability (Temperature and Voltage Variation)		<b>②</b>
Key to Results		
	ot comply	

### 2.3. Methods and Procedures

Reference:	FCC KDB 971168 D01 v02r02, October 17 2014
Title:	Measurement Guidance for Certification of Licensed Digital Transmitters

### 2.4. Deviations from the Test Specification

For the measurements contained within this test report, there were no deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test specification identified above.

UL VS LTD Page 5 of 64

**VERSION 3.0** 

ISSUE DATE: 03 AUGUST 2016

### 3. Equipment Under Test (EUT)

### 3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	A1785
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070266615 (Conducted Sample #1)
Hardware Version:	REV1.0
Software Version:	iOS: 14A298, BB FW: 0.25.02
FCC ID:	BCG-E3088A

Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	A1785
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070309175 (Radiated Sample #1)
Hardware Version:	REV1.0
Software Version:	iOS: 14A298, BB FW: 0.25.02
FCC ID:	BCG-E3088A

Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	A1785
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070285300 (Radiated Sample #2)
Hardware Version:	REV1.0
Software Version:	iOS: 14A298, BB FW: 0.25.02
FCC ID:	BCG-E3088A

### 3.2. Description of EUT

The Equipment Under Test was a mobile phone with GSM/GPRS/EGPRS/UMTS/LTE/TD-SCDMA and CDMA technologies. It also supports IEEE 802.11a/b/g/n/ac, Bluetooth®, GPS and NFC. The rechargeable battery is not user accessible.

### 3.3. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT

No modifications were applied to the EUT during testing.

Page 6 of 64 UL VS LTD

### 3.4. Additional Information Related to Testing

Technology Tested:	UMTS850		
Type of Radio Device:	Transceiver		
Mode:	UMTS FDD V		
Modulation Type:	QPSK / 8PSK		
Channel Spacing:	5 MHz		
Power Supply Requirement(s):	Nominal	3.8 VDC	
	Minimum	3.4 VDC	
	Maximum	4.3 VDC	
Transmit Frequency Range:	824 to 849 MHz		
Transmit Channels Tested:	Channel ID	Channel Number	Channel Frequency (MHz)
	Bottom	4132	826.4
	Middle	4183	836.6
	Тор	4233	846.6

### 3.5. Support Equipment

The following support equipment was used to exercise the EUT during testing:

Description:	Laptop PC
Brand Name:	Lenovo
Model Name or Number:	L440
Serial Number:	R9-019EA0 14/04

Description:	USB diagnostic cable
Brand Name:	Not stated
Model Name or Number:	Kong
Serial Number:	2074F9

Description:	Personal Hands Free (PHF)
Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	Apple Ear Plugs
Serial Number:	Not stated

UL VS LTD Page 7 of 64

### 4. Operation and Monitoring of the EUT during Testing

#### 4.1. Operating Modes

The EUT was tested in the following operating mode(s):

- Constantly transmitting at full power on bottom, middle and top channels as required.
- Occupied bandwidth, ERP and band edge tests were performed with the EUT in RMC (12.2 kbps), HSDPA (Sub-tests 1 to 4) or HSUPA (Sub-tests 1 to 5) modes.
- Transmitter radiated spurious emissions were checked in all modes during pre-scans. HSUPA subset 1 was found to be the worst case and all final measurements were performed with the EUT in this mode.

#### 4.2. Configuration and Peripherals

The EUT was tested in the following configuration(s):

- The EUT was placed into a non-ui mode by using the teraterm application on a UL laptop PC. Instructions were provided by the customer to enable the baseband and radio (Cellular\_RSE\_setup\_V3.0.doc). This enabled the EUT to connect via a radiated link with the Rohde & Schwarz CMW 500 system simulator operating in transceiver mode. The CMW 500 was used to configure the EUT operating mode.
- Transmitter radiated spurious emissions tests were performed with the PHF connected to the EUT
  as the declared by the customer. The EUT was placed in three orthogonal orientations X, Y and Z to
  determine the worst case orientation for radiated spurious emissions and all final measurements
  were performed in this orientation. The worst case orientation for the LAT was Z and for the UAT
  was Z. Measurements at band edges were performed with the PHF removed as this was found to be
  the worst case.
- The worst-case radiated emission among all accessories, is determined by the manufacturer to be
  with the headset connected. The compliance lab performed final testing only with the headset
  attached.
- Testing for frequency stability and measurements at temperature and voltage extremes were
  performed using a conducted sample supplied by the customer. Short 4-wire DC flying leads were
  connected internally to the device in place of the battery, and exited through a hole in the casing.
  These leads were then extended to a DC power supply for testing purposes.
- For conducted cellular measurements, the RF conducted port was created by removing a micro
  connector from the PCB antenna and extending it with a short flexible microstrip supplied by the
  customer. This microstrip exited the device through a hole in the casing and was terminated in a
  proprietary micro-coax to SMA adaptor.
- The device contains two cellular antennas which do not transmit simultaneously.
  - o LAT Lower Antenna (Primary)
  - o UAT Upper Antenna (Secondary)

Where applicable, both antennas have been tested to demonstrate compliance.

Page 8 of 64 UL VS LTD

### 5. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results

#### **5.1. General Comments**

Measurement uncertainties are evaluated in accordance with current best practice. Our reported expanded uncertainties are based on standard uncertainties, which are multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor to provide a statistical confidence level of approximately 95%. Please refer to Section 6. Measurement Uncertainty for details.

In accordance with UKAS requirements all the measurement equipment is on a calibration schedule. All equipment was within the calibration period on the date of testing.

UL VS LTD Page 9 of 64

#### 5.2. Test Results

#### 5.2.1. Transmitter E.R.P. - LAT

#### **Test Summary:**

Test Engineer:	Roy Chen	Test Date:	15 July 2016
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070266615		

FCC Reference: Part 22.913(a)(2)	
Test Method Used:	KDB 971168 D01 Section 5.1.1 and 5.2.1

#### **Environmental Conditions:**

Temperature (°C):	24
Relative Humidity (%):	36


#### Note(s):

- 1. All modes were compared on each channel and the highest power recorded was subtracted from the limit to show the margin.
- The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT via the coupled port on an RF directional
  coupler using suitable attenuation and RF cables. An RF level offset was entered on the signal analyser
  to compensate for the loss of the coupler, attenuators and RF cables. The through port on the RF
  coupler was connected to an R&S CMW 500 Radio Communications Tester.
- 3. The EUT was transmitting at maximum power on a single channel.
- 4. The customer stated a maximum antenna gain of -2.0 dBi. As the limit is an ERP limit, the gain in dBi has been converted to dBd. The gain in dBd was calculated as:

$$-2.0 \text{ dBi} - 2.15 \text{ dB} = -4.15 \text{ dBd}$$

5. The antenna gain was added to the conducted output power to obtain the ERP. The highest power recorded was subtracted from the limit to show the margin.

#### Test setup:



Page 10 of 64 UL VS LTD

### **Transmitter Effective Radiated Power (ERP) (continued)**

### Results: Peak ERP / HSDPA and RMC

N	Modes		HSDPA RMC			RMC			
Sı	ub-test	1	2	3	4	12.2 kbps			
Band	Channel	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
	4132	23.2	24.0	24.5	24.0	23.9	38.5	14.0	Complied
850	4183	25.0	24.9	24.8	24.6	24.5	38.5	13.5	Complied
	4233	23.4	24.6	24.5	24.4	25.3	38.5	13.2	Complied
	ßc	2	11	15	15				
	ßd	15	15	8	4				
ΔΑCΚ, Δ	NACK, ∆CQI	8	8	8	8				

### **Results: RMS ERP / HSDPA and RMC**

N	lodes HSDPA			RMC					
Sı	ıb-test	1	2	3	4	12.2 kbps			
Band	Channel	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
	4132	19.7	18.0	17.0	16.8	20.9	38.5	17.6	Complied
850	4183	19.6	18.2	16.9	16.7	21.0	38.5	17.5	Complied
	4233	19.6	17.9	16.8	16.7	21.0	38.5	17.5	Complied
	ßc	2	11	15	15				
	ßd	15	15	8	4				
ΔΑCΚ, Δ	NACK, ∆CQI	8	8	8	8				

### **Results: Peak ERP / HSUPA**

N	lodes		HSUPA						
Sı	ub-test	1	2	3	4	5			
Band	Channel	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
	4132	24.0	24.5	24.0	23.4	24.0	38.5	14.0	Complied
850	4183	22.6	23.7	25.1	23.6	24.9	38.5	13.4	Complied
	4233	25.5	23.8	24.8	23.5	24.8	38.5	13.0	Complied
	ßc	11	6	15	2	15			
	ßd	15	15	9	15	1			
ΔΑCΚ, Δ	NACK, ∆CQI	8	8	8	8	8			

UL VS LTD Page 11 of 64

### **Transmitter Effective Radiated Power (ERP) (continued)**

### Results: RMS ERP / HSUPA

N	lodes		HSUPA						
Sı	ıb-test	1	2	3	4	5			
Band	Channel	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
	4132	19.8	18.9	19.8	19.7	18.2	38.5	18.7	Complied
850	4183	20.0	19.5	20.0	19.8	18.2	38.5	18.5	Complied
	4233	19.9	19.4	19.9	19.9	18.1	38.5	18.6	Complied
	ßc	11	6	15	2	15			
	ßd	15	15	9	15	1			
ΔΑCΚ, Δ	NACK, ∆CQI	8	8	8	8	8			

### **Test Equipment Used:**

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2002	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041825	02 Apr 2017	12
A2503	Directional Coupler	AtlanTecRF	CDC-003060-10	13122501838	Calibrated before use	-
A2527	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-20	832828#2	Calibrated before use	-
M1996	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV13	100975	02 Mar 2017	12
M1145	Power Meter	Hewlett Packard	437B	3737U26557	11 Aug 2016	12
M283	Thermal Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	8487A	3318A03241	27 Apr 2017	12
G0608	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMIQ 06B	838341/033	29 Apr 2017	12

Page 12 of 64 UL VS LTD

#### Transmitter Effective Radiated Power (ERP) - LAT (continued)

#### **Test Summary:**

Test Engineer:	Roy Chen	Test Date:	15 July 2016
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070266615		

FCC Reference:	Part 22.913(a)(2)
Test Method Used:	KDB 971168 D01 Section 5.1.1 and 5.2.1

#### **Environmental Conditions:**

Temperature (°C):	24
Relative Humidity (%):	36

#### Note(s):

- 1. All modes were compared on each channel and the highest power recorded was subtracted from the limit to show the margin.
- The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT via the coupled port on an RF directional
  coupler using suitable attenuation and RF cables. An RF level offset was entered on the signal analyser
  to compensate for the loss of the coupler, attenuators and RF cables. The through port on the RF
  coupler was connected to an R&S CMW 500 Radio Communications Tester.
- 3. The EUT was transmitting at maximum power in Dual Carrier HSDPA mode.
- 4. The customer stated a maximum antenna gain of –2.0 dBi. As the limit is an ERP limit, the gain in dBi has been converted to dBd. The dBd was calculated as:

$$-2.0 \text{ dBi} - 2.15 \text{ dB} = -4.15 \text{ dBd}.$$

5. The antenna gain was added to the conducted output power to obtain the ERP.

UL VS LTD Page 13 of 64

### **Transmitter Effective Radiated Power (ERP) (continued)**

### Results: Peak ERP / Dual Carrier HSDPA

N	Modes		HSDPA					
Sı	ıb-test	1	2	3	4			
Band	Channel	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
	4132	23.1	23.8	23.8	23.8	38.5	14.7	Complied
850	4183	23.2	24.4	23.7	23.7	38.5	14.1	Complied
	4233	23.2	24.4	24.2	24.2	38.5	14.1	Complied
	ßc	2	11	15	15			
	ßd	15	15	8	4			
ΔΑСΚ, Δ	NACK, ∆CQI	8	8	8	8			

### Results: RMS ERP / HSDPA / Dual Carrier HSDPA

N	lodes		HSI	OPA				
Sı	ıb-test	1	2	3	4			
Band	Channel	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
	4132	19.7	17.9	16.9	16.6	38.5	18.8	Complied
850	4183	19.8	18.0	17.2	16.8	38.5	18.7	Complied
	4233	19.8	17.8	17.1	16.7	38.5	18.8	Complied
	ßc	2	11	15	15			
	ßd	15	15	8	4			
ΔΑСΚ, Δ	NACK, ∆CQI	8	8	8	8			

### **Test Equipment Used:**

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2002	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041825	02 Apr 2017	12
A2503	Directional Coupler	AtlanTecRF	CDC-003060-10	13122501838	Calibrated before use	-
A2527	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-20	832828#2	Calibrated before use	-
M1996	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV13	100975	02 Mar 2017	12
M1145	Power Meter	Hewlett Packard	437B	3737U26557	11 Aug 2016	12
M283	Thermal Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	8487A	3318A03241	27 Apr 2017	12
G0608	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMIQ 06B	838341/033	29 Apr 2017	12

Page 14 of 64 UL VS LTD

#### 5.2.2. Transmitter E.R.P. - UAT

#### **Test Summary:**

Test Engineer:	st Engineer: Roy Chen		15 July 2016
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070266615		

FCC Reference:	Part 22.913(a)(2)
Test Method Used:	KDB 971168 D01 Section 5.1.1 and 5.2.1

#### **Environmental Conditions:**

Temperature (°C):	24
Relative Humidity (%):	36

#### Note(s):

- 1. All modes were compared on each channel and the highest power recorded was subtracted from the limit to show the margin.
- The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT via the coupled port on an RF directional
  coupler using suitable attenuation and RF cables. An RF level offset was entered on the signal analyser
  to compensate for the loss of the coupler, attenuators and RF cables. The through port on the RF
  coupler was connected to an R&S CMW 500 Radio Communications Tester.
- 3. The EUT was transmitting at maximum power on a single channel.
- 4. The customer stated a maximum antenna gain of -3.6 dBi. As the limit is an ERP limit, the gain in dBi has been converted to dBd. The gain in dBd was calculated as:

$$-3.6 \text{ dBi} - 2.15 \text{ dB} = -5.75 \text{ dBd}$$

5. The antenna gain was added to the conducted output power to obtain the ERP.

UL VS LTD Page 15 of 64

### **Transmitter Effective Radiated Power (ERP) (continued)**

**Results: Peak ERP / HSDPA and RMC** 

N	lodes		HSI	OPA		RMC			
Sı	ub-test	1	2	3	4	12.2 kbps			
Band	Channel	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
	4132	18.7	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.2	38.5	19.1	Complied
850	4183	20.2	20.1	19.9	19.9	19.6	38.5	18.4	Complied
	4233	18.7	19.9	19.6	19.6	19.5	38.5	18.6	Complied
	ßc	2	11	15	15				
	ßd	15	15	8	4				
ΔΑCΚ, Δ	NACK, ∆CQI	8	8	8	8				

### **Results: RMS ERP / HSDPA and RMC**

N	Modes		HSI	HSDPA					
Sı	ub-test	1	2	3	4	12.2 kbps			
Band	Channel	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
	4132	15.0	12.9	12.1	12.1	16.1	38.5	22.4	Complied
850	4183	14.9	13.0	12.2	11.9	16.2	38.5	22.3	Complied
	4233	14.9	12.8	11.9	11.8	16.2	38.5	22.3	Complied
	ßc	2	11	15	15				
	ßd	15	15	8	4				
ΔΑCΚ, Δ	NACK, ∆CQI	8	8	8	8				

### **Results: Peak ERP / HSUPA**

Modes HSUPA									
Sı	ub-test	1	2	3	4	5			
Band	Channel	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
	4132	19.4	18.5	19.4	18.4	19.5	38.5	19.0	Complied
850	4183	19.9	19.5	20.0	19.2	19.9	38.5	18.5	Complied
	4233	19.9	18.4	20.0	18.6	20.0	38.5	18.5	Complied
	ßc	11	6	15	2	15			
	ßd	15	15	9	15	1			
ΔΑCΚ, Δ	NACK, ∆CQI	8	8	8	8	8			

Page 16 of 64 UL VS LTD

### **Transmitter Effective Radiated Power (ERP) (continued)**

### Results: RMS ERP / HSUPA

Modes				HSUP	4				
Sı	ıb-test	1	2	3	4	5			
Band	Channel	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
	4132	14.0	15.0	15.1	15.0	13.6	38.5	23.4	Complied
850	4183	14.4	15.1	15.1	15.1	13.7	38.5	23.4	Complied
	4233	14.1	15.0	15.1	15.2	13.7	38.5	23.3	Complied
	ßc	11	6	15	2	15			
	ßd	15	15	9	15	1			
ΔΑCΚ, Δ	NACK, ∆CQI	8	8	8	8	8			

### **Test Equipment Used:**

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2002	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041825	02 Apr 2017	12
A2503	Directional Coupler	AtlanTecRF	CDC-003060-10	13122501838	Calibrated before use	-
A2527	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-20	832828#2	Calibrated before use	-
M1996	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV13	100975	02 Mar 2017	12
M1145	Power Meter	Hewlett Packard	437B	3737U26557	11 Aug 2016	12
M283	Thermal Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	8487A	3318A03241	27 Apr 2017	12
G0608	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMIQ 06B	838341/033	29 Apr 2017	12

UL VS LTD Page 17 of 64

#### **Transmitter Effective Radiated Power (ERP) (continued)**

#### **Test Summary:**

Test Engineer:	Roy Chen	Test Date:	15 July 2016
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070266615		

FCC Reference:	Part 22.913(a)(2)
Test Method Used:	KDB 971168 D01 Section 5.1.1 and 5.2.1

#### **Environmental Conditions:**

Temperature (°C):	24
Relative Humidity (%):	36

#### Note(s):

- 1. All modes were compared on each channel and the highest power recorded was subtracted from the limit to show the margin.
- 2. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT via the coupled port on an RF directional coupler using suitable attenuation and RF cables. An RF level offset was entered on the signal analyser to compensate for the loss of the coupler, attenuators and RF cables. The through port on the RF coupler was connected to an R&S CMW 500 Radio Communications Tester.
- 3. The EUT was transmitting at maximum power in Dual Carrier HSDPA mode.
- 4. The customer stated a maximum antenna gain of –3.6 dBi. As the limit is an ERP limit, the gain in dBi has been converted to dBd. The gain in dBd was calculated as:

$$-3.6 \text{ dBi} - 2.15 \text{ dB} = -5.75 \text{ dBd}$$

5. The antenna gain was added to the conducted output power to obtain the ERP.

Page 18 of 64 UL VS LTD

### Transmitter Effective Radiated Power (ERP) (continued) - UAT

### **Results: Peak ERP / Dual Carrier HSDPA**

N	lodes		HSI	OPA				
Sı	ub-test	1	2	3	4			
Band	Channel	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
	4132	19.1	20.1	19.8	19.5	38.5	18.5	Complied
850	4183	20.2	20.0	19.1	19.7	38.5	18.4	Complied
	4233	18.8	19.9	19.7	19.7	38.5	18.6	Complied
	ßc	2	11	15	15			
	ßd	15	15	8	4			
ΔΑСΚ, Δ	NACK, ∆CQI	8	8	8	8			

### **Results: RMS ERP / Dual Carrier HSDPA**

N	lodes		HSI	OPA				
Sı	ub-test	1	2	3	4			
Band	Channel	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
	4132	14.9	12.8	12.0	11.6	38.5	23.6	Complied
850	4183	14.8	13.2	12.1	11.7	38.5	23.7	Complied
	4233	14.8	13.2	12.0	11.7	38.5	23.7	Complied
	ßc	2	11	15	15			
	ßd	15	15	8	4			
ΔΑCΚ, Δ	NACK, ∆CQI	8	8	8	8			

### **Test Equipment Used:**

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2002	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041825	02 Apr 2017	12
A2503	Directional Coupler	AtlanTecRF	CDC-003060-10	13122501838	Calibrated before use	-
A2527	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-20	832828#2	Calibrated before use	-
M1996	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV13	100975	02 Mar 2017	12
M1145	Power Meter	Hewlett Packard	437B	3737U26557	11 Aug 2016	12
M283	Thermal Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	8487A	3318A03241	27 Apr 2017	12
G0608	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMIQ 06B	838341/033	29 Apr 2017	12

UL VS LTD Page 19 of 64

#### 5.2.3. Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth

#### **Test Summary:**

Test Engineer:	Roy Chen	Test Date:	18 July 2016
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070066615		

FCC Reference:	Part 2.1049
Test Method Used:	KDB 971168 D01 Section 4.2


#### **Environmental Conditions:**

Temperature (°C):	23
Relative Humidity (%):	44

#### Note(s):

- 1. Occupied bandwidth (99% bandwidth) was measured using a signal analyser occupied bandwidth function
- 2. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT via the coupled port on an RF directional coupler using suitable attenuation and RF cables. An RF level offset was entered on the signal analyser to compensate for the loss of the coupler, attenuators and RF cables. The through port on the RF coupler was connected to an R&S CMW 500 Radio Communications Tester.

### Test setup:




Page 20 of 64 UL VS LTD

### **Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)**

### Results: RMC / 12.2 kbps

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Occupied Bandwidth (kHz)
Middle	836.6	4138.929




**Middle Channel** 

UL VS LTD Page 21 of 64

### **Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)**

### **Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 1**

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Occupied Bandwidth (kHz)
Middle	836.6	4138.929




**Middle Channel** 

Page 22 of 64 UL VS LTD

### **Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)**

### **Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 2**

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Occupied Bandwidth (kHz)
Middle	836.6	4153.401




**Middle Channel** 

UL VS LTD Page 23 of 64

### **Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)**

### **Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 3**

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Occupied Bandwidth (kHz)
Middle	836.6	4153.401




**Middle Channel** 

Page 24 of 64 UL VS LTD

### **Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)**

### **Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 4**

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Occupied Bandwidth (kHz)
Middle	836.6	4138.929




**Middle Channel** 

UL VS LTD Page 25 of 64

### **Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)**

### **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 1**

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Occupied Bandwidth (kHz)
Middle	836.6	4138.929




**Middle Channel** 

Page 26 of 64 UL VS LTD

### **Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)**

### **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 2**

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Occupied Bandwidth (kHz)
Middle	836.6	4138.929




**Middle Channel** 

UL VS LTD Page 27 of 64

### **Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)**

### **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 3**

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Occupied Bandwidth (kHz)
Middle	836.6	4138.929




**Middle Channel** 

Page 28 of 64 UL VS LTD

### **Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)**

### **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 4**

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Occupied Bandwidth (kHz)
Middle	836.6	4124.457




**Middle Channel** 

UL VS LTD Page 29 of 64

### **Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)**

### **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 5**

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Occupied Bandwidth (kHz)
Middle	836.6	4138.929



**Middle Channel** 

### **Test Equipment Used:**

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2002	Thermohygrometer	JM Handelspunkt	30.5015.134	Not stated	02 Apr 2017	12
A2503	Directional Coupler	AtlanTecRF	CDC-003060-10	13122501838	Calibrated before use	-
A2527	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-20	832828#2	Calibrated before use	-
M1996	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV13	100975	02 Mar 2017	12
M199	Power Meter	Rohde & Schwarz	NRVS	827023/075	11 Apr 2018	24
M1267	Thermal Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z52	100155	15 Apr 2018	24
M1021	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMP02	833286/004	19 May 2017	12

Page 30 of 64 UL VS LTD

#### 5.2.4. Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions - LAT

#### **Test Summary:**

Test Engineer:	David Doyle	Test Dates:	18 July 2016 & 20 July 2016
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070285300		

FCC Reference:	Parts 2.1053 & 22.917
Test Method Used:	KDB 971168 D01 Section 6.1 / FCC Part 2.1053
Frequency Range:	30 MHz to 9 GHz
Configuration:	HSUPA Sub-Test 1

#### **Environmental Conditions:**

Temperature (°C):	22 to 24
Relative Humidity (%):	42 to 43


#### Note(s):

- 1. The uplink traffic channel is shown on the 30 MHz to 1 GHz plot.
- 2. No spurious emissions were detected above the noise floor of the measuring receiver, therefore the highest peak noise floor reading of the measuring receiver was recorded.
- 3. Middle channel results are recorded in this report and are representative of bottom and top channel results which are held on the UL IT server and available for inspection on request.
- 4. Measurements were performed in a semi-anechoic/fully anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0017) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 1.5 metres above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Maximum emission levels were determined by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres. A peak detector and trace mode of Max Hold were used to perform pre-scans, with markers placed on the highest measured levels.
- 5. Radiated spurious emission testing between 150 kHz and 30 MHz was performed for support of the NFC test report. No spurious emissions were observed above the noise floor of the measurement system.

UL VS LTD Page 31 of 64

### **Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions (continued)**

### **Test setup for radiated measurements:**





#### Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 1 - Middle Channel


Frequency	Peak Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	(dBm)	(dBm)	(dB)	
995.337	-31.5	-13.0	18.5	Complied


Page 32 of 64 UL VS LTD

### **Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions (continued)**









UL VS LTD Page 33 of 64

# <u>Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions (continued)</u> <u>Test Equipment Used:</u>

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2003	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45046641	22 Apr 2017	12
K0017	3m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	17 May 2017	12
M1995	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU40	100428	21 Mar 2017	12
A2889	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	BBHA 9120 B	BBHA 9120 B	07 Apr 2017	12
A2890	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	HWRD 750	014	06 May 2017	12
A2863	Pre-Amplifier	Agilent	8449B	3008A02100	07 Jan 2017	12
A2891	Pre-Amplifier	Schwarzbeck	BBV 9718	9718-306	07 Apr 2017	12
A2908	High Pass Filter	Wainwright	WHJE5-920- 1000-4000-60EE	3	23 May 2017	12
A2914	High Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFH-03000	2155	19 May 2017	12
A2918	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN185W-20	832828#1	19 May 2017	12

Page 34 of 64 UL VS LTD

#### 5.2.5. Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions - UAT

#### **Test Summary:**

Test Engineer:	David Doyle	Test Dates:	18 July 2016 & 20 July 2016
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070309175		

FCC Reference:	Parts 2.1053 & 22.917		
Test Method Used:	KDB 971168 D01 Section 6.1 / FCC Part 2.1053		
Frequency Range: 30 MHz to 9 GHz			
Configuration:	HSUPA Sub-Test 1		

#### **Environmental Conditions:**

Temperature (°C):	22 to 24
Relative Humidity (%):	42 to 43

#### Note(s):

- 1. The uplink traffic channel is shown on the 30 MHz to 1 GHz plot.
- 2. No spurious emissions were detected above the noise floor of the measuring receiver, therefore the highest peak noise floor reading of the measuring receiver was recorded.
- 3. Middle channel results are recorded in this report and are representative of bottom and top channel results which are held on the UL IT server and available for inspection on request.
- 4. Measurements were performed in a semi-anechoic/fully anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0017) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 1.5 metres above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Maximum emission levels were determined by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres. A peak detector and trace mode of Max Hold were used to perform pre-scans, with markers placed on the highest measured levels.
- 5. Radiated spurious emission testing between 150 kHz and 30 MHz was performed for support of the NFC test report. No spurious emissions were observed above the noise floor of the measurement system

#### **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 1- Middle Channel**


Frequency	Peak Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	(dBm)	(dBm)	(dB)	
998.446	-31.9	-13.0	18.9	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 35 of 64


VERSION 3.0


ISSUE DATE: 03 AUGUST 2016

### **Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions (continued)**









Page 36 of 64 UL VS LTD

# <u>Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions (continued)</u> <u>Test Equipment Used:</u>

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2003	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45046641	22 Apr 2017	12
K0017	3m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	17 May 2017	12
M1995	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU40	100428	21 Mar 2017	12
A2889	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	BBHA 9120 B	BBHA 9120 B	07 Apr 2017	12
A2890	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	HWRD 750	014	06 May 2017	12
A2863	Pre-Amplifier	Agilent	8449B	3008A02100	07 Jan 2017	12
A2891	Pre-Amplifier	Schwarzbeck	BBV 9718	9718-306	07 Apr 2017	12
A2908	High Pass Filter	Wainwright	WHJE5-920- 1000-4000-60EE	3	23 May 2017	12
A2914	High Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFH-03000	2155	19 May 2017	12
A2918	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN185W-20	832828#1	19 May 2017	12

UL VS LTD Page 37 of 64

VERSION 3.0 ISSUE DATE: 03 AUGUST 2016

# 5.2.6. Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions - LAT

#### **Test Summary:**

Test Engineer:	David Doyle	Test Date:	20 July 2016
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070285300		

FCC Reference:	Parts 2.1053 & 22.917
Test Method Used:	KDB 971168 D01 Section 6, Section 7 & notes below

#### **Environmental Conditions:**

Temperature (°C):	24
Relative Humidity (%):	42


#### Note(s):


- 1. Measurements were performed with the EUT transmitting in all operating modes.
- 2. Measurements were performed in a fully anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0017) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor in the centre of the chamber turntable. The measurement antenna was placed at a fixed height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor in line with the EUT.
- 3. In the first 1.0 MHz immediately outside and adjacent to the band, the test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to approximately 1% of the occupied bandwidth and video bandwidth 3%. Sweep time was set to 10 seconds and an average detector with maximum hold was used.

Page 38 of 64 UL VS LTD

## Results: RMC / 12.2 kbps

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
823.827	-29.7	-13.0	16.7	Complied
824	-30.2	-13.0	17.2	Complied
849	-28.8	-13.0	15.8	Complied
849.128	-28.0	-13.0	15.0	Complied







UL VS LTD Page 39 of 64

## **Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 1**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-30.6	-13.0	17.6	Complied
849	-30.0	-13.0	17.0	Complied
849.141	-28.9	-13.0	15.9	Complied







Page 40 of 64 UL VS LTD

## **Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 2**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-30.1	-13.0	17.1	Complied
849	-28.5	-13.0	15.5	Complied







UL VS LTD Page 41 of 64

## **Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 3**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-30.7	-13.0	17.7	Complied
849	-28.3	-13.0	15.3	Complied







Page 42 of 64 UL VS LTD

## **Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 4**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-30.6	-13.0	17.6	Complied
849	-28.3	-13.0	15.3	Complied







UL VS LTD Page 43 of 64

# **Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)**

## **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 1**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-29.8	-13.0	16.8	Complied
849	-28.3	-13.0	15.3	Complied





Page 44 of 64 UL VS LTD


VERSION 3.0


ISSUE DATE: 03 AUGUST 2016

# **Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)**

## **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 2**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-30.6	-13.0	17.6	Complied
849	-29.5	-13.0	16.5	Complied







UL VS LTD Page 45 of 64

## **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 3**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-30.0	-13.0	17.0	Complied
849	-27.8	-13.0	14.8	Complied






Page 46 of 64 UL VS LTD

# **Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)**

## **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 4**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-30.6	-13.0	17.6	Complied
849	-30.2	-13.0	17.2	Complied
849.141	-29.0	-13.0	16.0	Complied






UL VS LTD Page 47 of 64

# **Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)**

## **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 5**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-30.1	-13.0	17.1	Complied
849	-29.4	-13.0	16.4	Complied





#### **Test Equipment Used:**

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2003	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45046641	22 Apr 2017	12
K0017	3m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	17 May 2017	12
M1995	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU40	100428	21 Mar 2017	12
A2888	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	VULB 9163	9163-941	07 Apr 2017	12
A2918	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-20	832828#1	19 May 2017	12

Page 48 of 64 UL VS LTD

VERSION 3.0 ISSUE DATE: 03 AUGUST 2016

#### 5.2.7. Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions - UAT

#### **Test Summary:**

Test Engineer:	David Doyle	Test Date:	20 July 2016
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070309175		

FCC Reference:	Parts 2.1053 & 22.917
Test Method Used:	KDB 971168 D01 Section 6, Section 7 & notes below

#### **Environmental Conditions:**

Temperature (°C):	23
Relative Humidity (%):	41

#### Note(s):


- 1. Measurements were performed with the EUT transmitting in all operating modes.
- 2. Measurements were performed in a fully anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0017) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor in the centre of the chamber turntable. The measurement antenna was placed at a fixed height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor in line with the EUT.
- 3. In the first 1.0 MHz immediately outside and adjacent to the band, the test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to approximately 1% of the occupied bandwidth and video bandwidth 3%. Sweep time was set to 10 seconds and an average detector with maximum hold was used.


UL VS LTD Page 49 of 64

# **Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)**

## Results: RMC / 12.2 kbps

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
823.846	-31.9	-13.0	18.9	Complied
824	-35.1	-13.0	22.1	Complied
849	-32.3	-13.0	19.3	Complied






Page 50 of 64 UL VS LTD


VERSION 3.0

# **Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)**

## **Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 1**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
823.827	-32.3	-13.0	19.3	Complied
824	-36.0	-13.0	23.0	Complied
849	-33.6	-13.0	20.6	Complied







UL VS LTD Page 51 of 64

## **Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 2**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-33.4	-13.0	20.4	Complied
849	-33.4	-13.0	20.4	Complied







Page 52 of 64 UL VS LTD

## **Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 3**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-33.3	-13.0	20.3	Complied
849	-33.7	-13.0	20.7	Complied







UL VS LTD Page 53 of 64

# **Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)**

## **Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 4**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-33.0	-13.0	20.0	Complied
849	-33.6	-13.0	20.6	Complied







Page 54 of 64 UL VS LTD

## **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 1**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-33.3	-13.0	20.3	Complied
849	-33.4	-13.0	20.4	Complied







UL VS LTD Page 55 of 64

# **Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)**

## **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 2**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
823.821	-34.5	-13.0	21.5	Complied
824	-35.1	-13.0	22.1	Complied
849	-33.8	-13.0	20.8	Complied





Page 56 of 64 UL VS LTD


VERSION 3.0


ISSUE DATE: 03 AUGUST 2016

# **Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)**

## **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 3**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-32.6	-13.0	19.6	Complied
849	-33.4	-13.0	20.4	Complied







UL VS LTD Page 57 of 64

## **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 4**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
823.853	-33.1	-13.0	20.1	Complied
824	-36.1	-13.0	23.1	Complied
849	-33.9	-13.0	20.9	Complied
849.167	-33.7	-13.0	20.7	Complied







Page 58 of 64 UL VS LTD

## **Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 5**

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBm)	Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
824	-34.1	-13.0	21.1	Complied
849	-33.4	-13.0	20.4	Complied





#### **Test Equipment Used:**

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2003	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45046641	22 Apr 2017	12
K0017	3m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	17 May 2017	12
M1995	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU40	100428	21 Mar 2017	12
A2888	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	VULB 9163	9163-941	07 Apr 2017	12
A2918	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-20	832828#1	19 May 2017	12

UL VS LTD Page 59 of 64

VERSION 3.0

ISSUE DATE: 03 AUGUST 2016

#### 5.2.8. Transmitter Frequency Stability (Temperature Variation)

#### **Test Summary:**

Test Engineer:	Stefan Ho	Test Dates:	03 June 2016 & 09 June 2016
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070266615		

FCC Reference:	Parts 2.1055 / 22.355
Test Method Used:	KDB 971168 D01 Section 9, FCC Part 2.1055 and notes below
Test Mode:	RMC


#### **Environmental Conditions:**

Ambient Temperature (°C):	23
Ambient Relative Humidity (%):	46 to 48

#### Note(s):

- 1. Flying leads were connected internally to the EUT in place of the battery. These leads were extended and connected to a bench power supply.
- 2. Frequency error was measured using a calibrated Rohde & Schwarz CMW 500 Universal Radio Communications Tester in accordance with current Rohde & Schwarz application notes. The EUT was connected by suitable RF cables to the CMW 500. A bi-directional communications link was established between the EUT and CMW 500. The frequency meter value was recorded.
- 3. Temperature was monitored throughout the test with a calibrated digital thermometer. Nominal voltage was monitored throughout the test with a calibrated digital voltmeter.

#### Test setup:



Page 60 of 64 UL VS LTD

VERSION 3.0 ISSUE DATE: 03 AUGUST 2016

# <u>Transmitter Frequency Stability (Temperature Variation) (continued)</u> <u>Results: Middle Channel (836.6 MHz)</u>

Temperature (°C)	Measured Frequency (MHz)	Frequency Error (Hz)	Frequency Error (ppm)	Limit (ppm)	Margin (ppm)	Result
-30	836.599996	4	0.0048	2.5	2.4952	Complied
-20	836.599995	5	0.0060	2.5	2.4940	Complied
-10	836.600004	4	0.0048	2.5	2.4952	Complied
0	836.600003	3	0.0036	2.5	2.4964	Complied
10	836.600005	5	0.0060	2.5	2.4940	Complied
20	836.599996	4	0.0048	2.5	2.4952	Complied
30	836.600004	4	0.0048	2.5	2.4952	Complied
40	836.599995	5	0.0060	2.5	2.4940	Complied
50	836.599996	4	0.0048	2.5	2.4952	Complied

## **Test Equipment Used:**

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M1869	Wideband Radio Comms Tester	Rohde & Schwarz	CMW 500	145923	05 Apr 2017	12
M1815	Environmental Chamber	Votsch	VT4002	521/83083	Calibrated before use	-
M1642	Thermometer	Fluke	5211	18890119	25 Apr 2017	12
S021	Dual DC power supply	Thurlby Thandar Instruments	CPX200	061034	Calibrated before use	-
M1269	Multimeter	Fluke	179	90250210	13 May 2017	12
M2002	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041825	02 Apr 2017	12

UL VS LTD Page 61 of 64

VERSION 3.0

ISSUE DATE: 03 AUGUST 2016

## 5.2.9. Transmitter Frequency Stability (Voltage Variation)

#### **Test Summary:**

Test Engineer:	Stefan Ho	Test Date:	02 June 2016
Test Sample IMEI:	358640070266615		

FCC Reference:	Parts 2.1055 & 22.355
Test Method Used:	KDB 971168 D01 Section 9, FCC Part 2.1055 and notes below
Test Mode:	RMC

#### **Environmental Conditions:**

Temperature (°C):	20
Relative Humidity (%):	48

#### Note(s):

- 1. Flying leads were connected internally to the EUT in place of the battery. These leads were extended and connected to a bench power supply.
- 2. Frequency error was measured using a calibrated Rohde and Schwarz CMW 500 Universal Radio Communications Tester in accordance with current Rohde and Schwarz application notes. The EUT was connected by suitable RF cables to the CMW 500. A bi-directional communications link was established between the EUT and CMW 500. The frequency meter value was recorded.
- 3. Voltage was monitored throughout the test with a calibrated digital voltmeter.

#### Results: Middle Channel (836.6 MHz)

Supply Voltage (V)	Measured Frequency (MHz)	Frequency Error (Hz)	Frequency Error (ppm)	Limit (ppm)	Margin (ppm)	Result
3.4	836.599996	4	0.0048	2.5	2.4952	Complied
4.3	836.600004	4	0.0048	2.5	2.4952	Complied

#### **Test Equipment Used:**

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M1869	Wideband Radio Comms Tester	Rohde & Schwarz	CMW 500	145923	05 Apr 2017	12
S021	Dual DC power supply	Thurlby Thandar Instruments	CPX200	061034	Calibrated before use	-
M1269	Multimeter	Fluke	179	90250210	13 May 2017	12
M2002	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041825	02 Apr 2017	12

Page 62 of 64 UL VS LTD

## **6. Measurement Uncertainty**

No measurement or test can ever be perfect and the imperfections give rise to error of measurement in the results. Consequently the result of a measurement is only an approximation to the value of the measurand (the specific quantity subject to measurement) and is only complete when accompanied by a statement of the uncertainty of the approximation.

The expression of uncertainty of a measurement result allows realistic comparison of results with reference values and limits given in specifications and standards.

The uncertainty of the result may need to be taken into account when interpreting the measurement results.

The reported expanded uncertainties below are based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor such that a confidence level of approximately 95% is maintained. For the purposes of this document "approximately" is interpreted as meaning "effectively" or "for most practical purposes".

Measurement Type	Range	Confidence Level (%)	Calculated Uncertainty
Conducted Output Power	824 to 849 MHz	95%	±1.13 dB
Occupied Bandwidth	824 to 849 MHz	95%	±3.92 %
Radiated Spurious Emissions	30 MHz to 1 GHz	95%	±5.65 dB
Radiated Spurious Emissions	1 GHz to 9 GHz	95%	±2.94 dB
Frequency Stability	824 to 849 MHz	95%	±23 Hz

The methods used to calculate the above uncertainties are in line with those recommended within the various measurement specifications. Where measurement specifications do not include guidelines for the evaluation of measurement uncertainty the published guidance of the appropriate accreditation body is followed.

UL VS LTD Page 63 of 64

VERSION 3.0 ISSUE DATE: 03 AUGUST 2016

# 7. Report Revision History

Version	Revision Details				
Number	Page No(s)	Clause	Details		
1.0	-	-	Initial Version		
2.0	11,12,16 & 17	-	Corrected ßc value for HSUPA Sub-test 1		
3.0	8	-	At the request of the TCB: Section 4.2. Inserted Bullet 3		

--- END OF REPORT ---

Page 64 of 64 UL VS LTD